Building Secure Applications

Thomas Stiehm, CTO tom.stiehm@coveros.com







Coveros helps organizations accelerate the delivery of secure, reliable software













Why Application Security is Important





- We are really good at finding <u>bugs</u>
- We are not so great at finding <u>flaws</u>
- We <u>sometimes</u> fix the problems we find
- We mostly never build security in



Common Implementation Errors

- Buffer overflow
- Race conditions
- TOCTOU (time of check to time of use)
- Unsafe environment variables
- Unsafe system calls
- Untrusted input problems

CodeSecure™









Flaws (Design Defects)

- Misuse of cryptography
- Compartmentalization problems in design
- Privileged block protection failure
- Type safety confusion error
- Insecure auditing
- Broken or illogical access control
- Method over-riding problems





But there are 1,000's of apps ... do the math



- Most Quality Assurance (QA) professionals are not application security specialist – most have no security testing training or experience
- Those organizations that do security testing tend to have an overreliance on automated security testing software
- Automated tools are not good at finding flaws
- New security defects are discovered every day and it takes time to get those programmed into tools
- Reliance on automated security testing tools without a grounding in security testing can lead to a false sense of security



- Applications are complex and suites of applications that support a business are extremely complex
- The technology stacks used to implement modern application are enormous, deep and wide, working across several tiers including the client, middleware and data tiers
- Wide use of multiple application development frameworks, libraries and components
- Application security defects can be in any tier or spread across multiple tiers based on sophisticated interacts between the tiers
- Most application developers are not and will never be security aware much less security experts

Desktop Application Complexity - 80s Apps





Client/Server Complexity - 90s Apps







Client Library

Client Application Env.

Client

Application Code (if any)

Server Application Env.

Database

Server

Layers of Complexity – 2000s Web Apps





Why is it hard to create Application Security Requirements?



- Most business users aren't security experts and will not actively consider security features on their own
- Security is often implicitly "assumed" into the requirements
- Even if a business user asks about application security they lack the expertise to specify what their needs are
- Application security is never a problem, until it is a problem and then it is an instant crisis
- Most software developers lack the ability to translate security vulnerabilities into business risk making prioritizing application security hard to do as compared to functional requirements
- Industry standards can help make the case for application security (think PCI, HIPAA and Basel II)



- Adopt and use an application security process from the beginning of the project
- Application Security requirements should be created along with the functional application requirements and implemented with the code features of the software
- Lead the security requirements process, sell the value of good security practices to the business
- Create security standards and practices and put security controls into your base software architecture
- Monitor compliance with your security standards
- Adopt the use of security tools such as static code analysis and web scanners
- Get in the practice of conducting manual security verification like code reviews and penetration testing



- There is a widely held belief that secure applications cannot be developed using agile practices.
- This belief comes from:
 - Misunderstanding agile (aka myths about agile)
 - Misunderstanding application security
 - An excuse not to change
- We have successfully built many, many security-critical applications using agile

SecureAgile[™] Development Process





Assures time-to-market while achieving security objectives

- Misuse/Abuse Cases
- Security Stories
- Defensive Design and Programming
- Continuous Software Security Assurance
 - Architectural risk analysis
 - Code analysis
 - Security and penetration testing

- Purpose: Define the possible mechanisms an adversary might exploit to compromise your system
- Approach:
 - Misuse cases are extensions to use cases that highlight ways in which the system might be misused accidentally
 - Abuse cases are extensions to use cases that highlight ways in which the system might be abused on purpose
- Results:
 - Insight into potential abuses that can be avoided and also tested for later



- Purpose: Document the non-functional security requirements associated with the system
- Approach
 - "User shall not ..." nomenclature
- Purpose
 - Assure all explicit security requirements are documented to aid secure development and testing activities

- Incorporation of security controls into software design and code
 - Security frameworks like OWASP ESAPI
- Use of vetted components
 Libraries of secure components
- Build security controls into your application architecture
- Examination of design / code looking for realization of architectural risks and misuses / abuses



- Architectural risk analysis
 - Assess architecture against threat model, attack patterns, known weaknesses
- Secure code review
 - Both automated and manual
- Security testing
 - Risk-based testing
 - Testing of security functionality
- Penetration testing
 - Performed during the release process



- Automation of build, test, deploy process
 - Check-in builds / tests
 - Nightly code integrations and regression tests
 - Automated promotion between test stages
 - Automated notification of build failures
- A critical capability to have when building software using agile ... and supports security analysis
- Integration of code analysis and automated security testing can result in identification of security issues early in the process







- Division of a Fortune 500 Bank
- Creating a suite of applications to be used for a new service offering within the bank
- All applications will use the same core architecture and infrastructure providing a large number of components for each individual application to use
- The applications will be accessible over the Internet to select clients and bank staff



- During the development of the suite of applications the bank commissioned an architectural risk analysis
- The architectural risk analysis focused on the design and architecture of the core components
- During the architectural risk analysis many deficiencies where discovered in the design of the application
- As result of the findings a team was created to help the architecture team build security controls into the core components of the system
- The bank had already purchased a static analysis tools to find core level defects. The team decided to extend the use of the tool to make sure that development used the security controls



- Java, Java Enterprise Edition (JEE)
- Google Web Toolkit (GWT)
- Spring Framework
- Red Hat Linux
- Sybase
- Oracle
- GigaSpaces



- Intellij IDEA
- Windows XP
- Maven 2.0
- Hudson CI server
- GWT Ext



- OWASP ESAPI
- Hibernate Validator JSR 303 Reference Implementation
- Spring Security Framework
- Customer Built Security Components



- Lack of input validation
- No output encoding
- Weak authentication
- Exposed services, no URL or request level access checking
- Lack of logging for an audit trail
- No clickjacking prevention

- Clickjacking prevention
- CSRF prevention
- Input validation
- Output encoding
- Secure logging for audit and intrusion detection









- Clickjacking is where a malicious site contains a hidden frame around a trusted site
- Since users can't see the hidden frame an attacker can make them think they are using a trusted site directly
- The design of the suite of applications allowed a clickjacking prevention control to be placed at the top level window or document
- This prevented clickjacking and didn't allow individual development teams the ability to turn off the control



- Cross Site Request Forgery is when an application accepts an unauthorized request from a user that was fooled into submitting it.
- The CSRF security control created a hard to guess token for each user session
- The CSRF security control was integrated into the request controller so that each request could be evaluated before any other processing happened
- If the request didn't have the token it was rejected



- Input Validation is the process of checking all input into an application to make sure it is valid and usable by the application before it is used
- The Input Validation control that we created for the bank focused on user input
- It was implemented in the core architecture by sub-classing and overriding the GWT component that dispatched requests to an appropriate handler
- By using the Hibernate Validator to annotate all objects coming from the client side we could quickly determine if the input data was valid and usable by the application



- Output encoding is the process of formatting data coming out of an application appropriately for the context that will consume the output, ex. Escaping SQL to prevent SQL injection attacks
- The output encoding control we created for the bank focused on making sure that dynamic SQL statements used in to the application didn't contain SQL controls that could be used for a SQL injection attack
- Where ever possible and practical all dynamic SQL statements were converted to prepared statements

- Secure logging is logging to a device that can't be tampered with if the server the log originates from is compromised
- The secure logging control we created for the bank was used for audit and intrusion detection
- The secure logs where written to a log server
- The Intrusion Detection System (IDS) monitored the log server looking for specific log codes that indicated anomalous traffic
- If the anomalous traffic surpassed specific thresholds an alert would be sent to the security team to investigate the issue



import com.google.gwt.user.server.rpc.RPCRequest; import com.google.gwt.user.server.rpc.RemoteServiceServlet;

```
public class MyAppRemoteServiceServlet extends
    RemoteServiceServlet {
    protected void onAfterRequestDeserialized(RPCRequest
        rpcRequest)
    {
        super.onAfterRequestDeserialized(rpcRequest);
        Object[] params = rpcRequest.getParameters();
        // volidate objects in parameters
```

// validate objects in params list



```
public class MyAppRemoteServiceServlet extends
 RemoteServiceServlet {
protected void onAfterRequestDeserialized(RPCRequest
 rpcRequest)
    super.onAfterRequestDeserialized(rpcRequest);
    HashSet<ConstraintViolation<Object>> constraintViolations =
 new HashSet<ConstraintViolation<Object>>();
    Object[] params = rpcRequest.getParameters();
    for (Object param : params)
         constraintViolations.addAll(validator.validate(param));
    if (constraintViolations.size()>0) thrown new Exception();
```



- Adopt and use an application security process
- Perform architectural risk analysis to find flaws, do it early and refresh it often (at least once per release)
- Write security requirements and test for them
- Perform threat modeling (abuse cases) and write security stories
- Use static analysis tools to check your code and site, they will point you to potential problem areas
- Use manual inspection to find defects in problem areas
- Use security testing tools, like web app scanners, to make sure you don't miss anything obvious



- Use Penetration testing techniques to find non-obvious and new vulnerabilities, try to break your application
- Build security controls into your architecture, make them hard to bypass and use tools to check to make sure they are being used
- Build security controls that fail safe
- Automate your static analysis and security testing tools, run them often and monitor the results
- Fix Critical and High priority issues immediately

- OWASP Top Ten:
 - <u>https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Top_10_2010</u>
- 2011 CWE/SANS Top 25 Most Dangerous Software Errors
 - http://cwe.mitre.org/top25/
- There is a lot of overlap as there are major categories that generate a lot of vulnerabilities
- For Example:
 - Injection Attacks and
 - Misconfigurations



- Injection
- Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
- Broken Authenitcation and Session Management
- Insecure Direct Object References
- Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)
- Security Misconfiguration
- Insecure Cryptographic Storage
- Failure to Restrict URL Access
- Insufficient Transport Layer Protection
- Invalidated Redirects and Forwards



- Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an SQL Command ('SQL Injection')
- Improper Neutralization of Special Elements used in an OS Command ('OS Command Injection')
- Buffer Copy without Checking Size of Input ('Classic Buffer Overflow')
- Improper Neutralization of Input During Web Page Generation ('Cross-site Scripting')
- Missing Authentication for Critical Function
- Missing Authorization
- Use of Hard-coded Credentials
- Missing Encryption of Sensitive Data
- Unrestricted Upload of File with Dangerous Type

CWE Top 25



- Reliance on Untrusted Inputs in a Security Decision
- Execution with Unnecessary Privileges
- Cross-Site Request Forgery (CSRF)
- [Improper Limitation of a Pathname to a Restricted Directory ('Path Traversal')
- Download of Code Without Integrity Check
- Incorrect Authorization
- Inclusion of Functionality from Untrusted Control Sphere
- Incorrect Permission Assignment for Critical Resource
- Use of Potentially Dangerous Function
- Use of a Broken or Risky Cryptographic Algorithm
- Incorrect Calculation of Buffer Size

CWE Top 25



- Improper Restriction of Excessive Authentication Attempts
- URL Redirection to Untrusted Site ('Open Redirect')
- Uncontrolled Format String
- Integer Overflow or Wraparound
- Use of a One-Way Hash without a Salt



Thank You

