Root Causes of Agile Project Failure

Jeffery Payne Chief Executive Officer Coveros, Inc. jeff.payne@coveros.com www.coveros.com



- Coveros helps organizations accelerate the delivery of secure, reliable software
- Our consulting services:
 - Agile software development
 - Application security
 - Software quality assurance
- Agile services
 - Agility assessments
 - Process improvement
 - Hands-on agile software development
 - Agile project management
 - Agile testing and automation
 - Agile training by role

Corporate Partners









Pop Quiz: Agile Development Means ...

- No documentation. We don't need to write anything down!
- No process. We can do it any way we want!
- No overtime. We can go home at 5!
- No management. We decide when to deliver!
- No testers. Who needs them anyway!



What Agile Actually Is

- An approach to software development that recognizes that building software is much more a design process than a construction process
 - Adaptive over Predictive
 - People over Process
 - Visibility into the Process
- Agile Methodologies
 - Extreme Programming
 - SCRUM
 - Lean Development
 - Crystal
 - Agile RUP



Agile Development Process



- Just in time requirements definition
- Integrated design, development, test
- Automated build, test, deployment process
- Disciplined iteration scope control
- Intimate customer involvement throughout entire process
- Continuous improvement



Root Causes of Agile Project Failure



Root Cause #1 – Scrummerfall

Scrummerfall: Waterfall development inside Scrum



- Challenges with Scrummerfall
 - Increases need for unnecessary coordination and documentation
 - Decreases team velocity
 - Difficult to fit into short sprints



Scrummerfall – early warning signs

- Entire development team is not working together <u>day-to-day</u> on the same Stories
- Testing is often not completed by the end of a Sprint
- Testing of previous Sprint Stories is done in parallel with design / development of new Sprint Stories
- Moving toward one 9-12 month "Sprint"



Scrummerfall – What you should do

- Pair a developer and a tester to work together on specific Stories
 - Tester helps developer think through unit and integration tests for Story
 - Developer builds functionality and automates unit and integration tests
 - Tester reviews / validates unit and integration tests
 - Tester adds to system level test plan and creates additional tests
 - Developer reviews additions to test plan
- Stories are not marked as complete until all testing has been performed and defects are fixed



Root Cause #2 – Waterscrum done wrong

Co-dependent Waterfall and Scrum projects



Challenges with Waterscrum

- Temptation is to lapse into a Waterfall process to align with the rest of the organization
- Team shirks it's responsibility to the rest of the organization and agile is disbanded
- Waterfall schedule slips and agile team does not adjust



Waterscrum – early warning signs

- Development team pushes back on providing necessary documentation
- Agile team misses deliverable date(s) associated with Waterfall schedule
- No visibility into progress on Waterfall process
- Team does not factor external delivery dates into Story priorities and schedule



Waterscrum – What you should do

- Designate a team representative to communicate / coordinate with the rest of the organization on at least a weekly basis
 - Should be the responsibility of the project manager or product manager
- Assign a "customer" to the project from the Waterfall initiative to assure agile deliverables meet organizational needs
- Define documentation or functionality constrained by Waterfall process in terms of Stories and place them in the appropriate Sprints to meet Waterfall schedule



Root Cause #3 – Ad-hoc development

 Team characterizes its development process as Agile to justify poor programming practices

Poor development practices

- Little or no structured unit testing
- Little or no test automation or continuous integration
- Little or no necessary product documentation
- Handoffs between development and testing
- Challenges with Ad-hoc development
 - Ad-hoc development has never worked within any software development process except perhaps when prototyping something
 - Significant quality and maintainability issues will exist
 - Not a rigorous process



Ad-hoc development troubles – early warning signs

- Lack of evidence that adequate unit testing has been done
 - No automation infrastructure to support unit testing
 - Limited code coverage
- Lack of evidence that architecture / design has been thought through at a high level
- Individual developers working on multiple Stories at the same time
- Lack of testers on the team
- Builds break and are not fixed within a day



Ad-hoc development – What you should do

- Incorporate unit testing infrastructure (ex. jUnit, nUnit) and code coverage (ex. Cobertura, Quilt) into continuous integration
- Incorporate design activity into Sprint kickoff meeting
- Incorporate test planning activity into Sprint planning and Sprint kickoff meeting
- Pair developers and testers during Sprints



Root Cause #4 – Non-existent customers

- Customer's are not involved throughout entire development process
 - Requirement definition / priority
 - Functional clarifications
 - User acceptance testing
- Challenges with Non-existent customers
 - Significantly reduces the business value of Agile as requirements are not validated
 - Increases in rework due to changing requirements
 - Reductions in Sprint velocity and productivity



Non-existent customers – early warning signs

- Customer is not intimately involved in initial planning phase before Sprints
- Customer is not available to answer questions regarding Stories / requirements during Sprints
- Customer is not part of User Acceptance Testing or UAT is pre-scripted by development team



Non-existent customers – What you should do

- Proactively seek out at least one customer to be involved in project
 - Talk to customer support to identify the most "vocal" client you have
 - Don't assume you already know what customers want
- If customer simply cannot be involved day-to-day or even week-to-week, work with customer to identify appropriate "proxy" to act on their behalf
- Do initial User Acceptance Testing at the client's site to ease them into the process



Root Cause #5 – Frozen requirements

- Complete requirements list created up front that feeds into Agile Sprints
- Often occurs when development group has moved toward Agile but business side has not
- Challenges with Frozen requirements:
 - 80% of requirements will typically not be useful to customers when implemented
 - Does not allow Agile team to adapt to changes in business circumstances
 - Prioritization of requirements across Sprints will not be accurate



Frozen requirements – early warning signs

- SRS requirements document has been produced for the project
- Contract exists that specifies fixed requirements to be delivered within a particular timeframe
- Customer is not available / willing to discuss Story priorities during iterative planning process
- Business resists changes to upcoming Sprints based upon customer feedback



Frozen requirements – What you should do

- Prioritize existing requirements so highest priority requirements are satisfied first
- Discuss priorities with customer during UAT and highlight differences between upfront plan and their needs
 - May result in modifications to priorities that can help drive a more effective process
 - May result in agreement to change requirements once they better understand the impact
- If business resists requirements change, pull customer into discussion with business and get agreement



Root Cause #6 – Fixed scope ... with a deadline

- Traditional project management fixes scope and estimates schedule and resources necessary to complete project
 Sometimes all three are fixed in size!
- Agile project management fixes schedule (Sprints) and resources (Staff available) and estimates scope

Challenges with Fixed scope

- We don't know what features have the most business value up front
- Customers don't know what features have the most business value up front
- The market changes constantly, necessitating change
- Scope is the most difficult thing to predict up front



Fixed scope – early warning signs

- There is resistance to any type of changes in priority, initial scoping of a Sprint, or initial scoping of a release
- The word "time-box" gets introduced along with the assumption that a particular scope will be completed within the time-box
- Efforts to incorporate appropriate refactoring and rework into Sprints are resisted



Fixed scope – What you should do

- Push back as hard as you can on this trend
 - Explain how and why Agile works
 - Emphasize that this is not Agile
 - Emphasize the importance of building maintainable code and cost of rework
- RUN AWAY AND HIDE!



Questions?

Thank You

© Copyright 2010 Coveros, Inc.. All rights reserved. 25